

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
02/16/2001	Martina Wicher	421 P 037	5781	
7590 03/29/2005		EXAMINER		
X, MCFARRON, MA	KRUER, KEVIN R			
NAMO OTREET		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			TALER NOMBER	
	02/16/2001 7590 03/29/2005	02/16/2001 Martina Wicher  7590 03/29/2005  X, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD  DAMS STREET	02/16/2001         Martina Wicher         421 P 037           7590         03/29/2005         EXAM           X, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD         KRUER, K           DAMS STREET         ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 January 2005.  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 1-6,8,10-18 and 20-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☑ Claim(s) 1-6,8,10,11,15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☑ All b)□ Some * ○□ None of:  1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,		,						
Office Action Summary    Comment   C			<b>S</b>			(			
Examiner    Examiner			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Revine R Kruer   1773   1773   1775			09/785,955		WICHER ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of tem reply be switched under the provided words the provided under the part of the		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Educations of those may be available undur the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a naphy be timaly filled  - Educations of those may be available undur the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a naphy be timaly filled  - If NO period for regly to available undur the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a naphy be timaly filled  - If NO period for regly to specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SK (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for regly the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SK (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for regly the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SK (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for regly the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire some different period of the specified of the communication.  - If NO period for regly the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire some different period of the specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified and specified or specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period for regly the specified status is a specified status.  - If NO period									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementors of terminary be available under the provision of 3° CPR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Endertors of terminary be available under the provision of 3° CPR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply signorities above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply signorities above, he maximum statutory protein will apply and will explice \$100, MONTHS from the maining date of this communication or reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) stays, as the maining date of this communication.  Fallule to reply within the set or extended protein for reply will, by adulting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is general to the statutory minimum of the replication to become ABANOCKEO (30 u.S. C. \$135).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 January 2005.  2a   This action is FINAL.  2b   This action is FINAL.  2b   This action is final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)   1-6.8, 10-18 and 20-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5    Claim(s)   1-6.8, 10-11, 15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)   1-6.8, 10-11, 15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)   1-6.8, 10-11, 15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)   1-6.8, 10-11, 15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  1-7.10  Claim(s)   1-8 specification is objected to by the Examiner.  1-9   1-10  The drawing(s) filed on   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10   1-10									
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3°CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a risply be timely filed after SX (5) MONTISE from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallward or may be send to the communication of		• •	/ IS SET TO	EVDIDE 2 MONTH!	S) EDOM				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 January 2005</u> .  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ≫ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10-18 and 20-25</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 □ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,11,15-18 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected.  7 □ Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> 6 ≫ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,11,15-18 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected.  7 □ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8 □ Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</u> Application Papers  9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on <u>is/are. a</u> and accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, within the statutor will apply and will exception	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days opire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-6.8,10-118 and 20-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) 1-6.8,10.11,15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) 1-6.8,10.11,15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) 1-6.8,10.11,15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) 1-6.8,10.11,15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status								
3	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 January 2005</u> .							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-6.8.10-18 and 20-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6.8.10,11,15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-143) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non	-final.					
Application of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-6.8.10-18 and 20-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) 1-6.8.10.11.15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ○ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ○ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ○ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of:  1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ○ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ○ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4)		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☒ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informati Patent Application (PTO-152)	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10-18 and 20-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6   Claim(s) 1-6,8,10,11,15-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9   □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12   ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Profesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)								
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6,8,10,11,15-18 and 20-25 is/are re	jected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· · · · ·								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)									
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)[								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been r	eceived in Application	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* 0	• •	•	` ''					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3	oce the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certifie	a cobies not receive	u.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<b>Attachmen</b>	tie)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			41	Interview Summary	PTO-413)				
	2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
					atent Application (PT	O-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/785,955 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 6, 2005 has been entered.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 12-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 09/11/2002.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

**Art Unit: 1773** 

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 15, 16, 18, 21, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nemoto et al (US 6,783,817).

Nemoto teaches an ink jet recording sheet including a substrate sheet having a base paper sheet and at least a front polyolefin laminate layer, and an ink receiving layer formed on the front polyolefin laminate layer. The polyolefin layer comprises a center-line mean roughness of 0.1-1.0um (col 8, lines 58+) and comprise a white coloring pigment such as calcium carbonate, with an average particle size of about 1.0um or less, and preferably having an average particle size of 0.5um or less (col 11, lines 59+). The polyolefin layer may comprise two or more layers each comprising the same composition (col 13, lines 40+). In such an embodiment, the polyolefin layer adjacent to the paper layer is understood to read on the claimed pigment layer and the layer adjacent to the ink-receiving layer is herein understood to read on the claimed polyolefin layer. The polyolefin coating is applied in amounts of 10-30g/m² (col 13, lines 30+).

With respect to the claimed particle distribution, when the composition comprises particles with an average particle size of 0.5um or less, at least 5% of the particles will inherently have a particle size of 0.35um to 0.8um. Said "at least 5%" of particles is

Art Unit: 1773

herein understood to read on the claimed "pigment having particles with a narrow grain distribution."

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 8, 11, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemoto et al (US 6,783,817), as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 15, 16, 18, 21, and 23-25 above, and further in view of Viratanen (US 6,143,064).

Nemoto is relied upon as above, but does not teach that the white pigment should comprise surface modified pigment. However, Viratanen teaches a pigment particle comprising a pigment particle that is coated with particles of precipitated calcium carbonate (abstract). The precipitated calcium carbonate is platelet shaped (see electron micrograph figures). Said particles have excellent brightness (abstract) and are useful in paper coatings. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the surface modified particles taught in Naydowski as the pigment taught in Nemoto. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve the brightness of the paper.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemoto et al (US 6,783,817), as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 15, 16, 18, 21, and 23-25 above.

**Art Unit: 1773** 

Nemoto teaches the use of a paper substrate but does not teach the claimed density. However, it is known in the art that the cost of a paper substrate can be reduced by reducing the paper's density. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reduce the density of the paper layer taught in Nemoto in order to reduce processing costs.

8. Claims 3, 10, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemoto et al (US 6,783,817), as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 15, 16, 18, 21, and 23-25 above, and further in view of Canton (US 5,078,793).

Nemoto is relied upon as above, but does not teach that kaolin clay may be utilized as the white pigment. However, Canton teaches that kaolin clays have been used as white pigments in papers (col 1, lines 13+). Therefore, it would have been obvious to utilize kaolin clay as the white pigment taught in Nemoto. The motivation for doing so is that kaolin clay has traditionally been used in the art as a white pigment.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin R Kruer whose telephone number is 571-272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

**Art Unit: 1773** 

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin R. Kruer

X-RX-

Patent Examiner-Art Unit 1773